

Cultivating a Sustainable Reading Culture in the Digital Age: The Librarian's Role, Technology and Tradition

Udaya

Librarian, St Aloysius (Deemed to be University), Mangaluru, Karnataka, India

udaya.by@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In an age dominated by screens, algorithms and information overload, it is both a challenge and a responsibility to nurture a sustainable reading culture. This paper examines the evolving role of librarians and teachers as cultural guardians balancing technology with tradition. Drawing parallels between the ancient Gurukul system and the modern digital reading ecosystems, the study highlights reading as a life skill, social equaliser and empowerment tool. Drawing on Ranganathan's First Law, book clubs, inclusive access models and practical learning strategies, the paper proposes a hybrid reading culture. This approach enhances reading literacy, critical thinking and lifelong learning across primary, secondary and higher education.

KEYWORDS: Reading, Digital Reading, Tradition, Gurukula, Sustainable, Book Clubs.

1. INTRODUCTION: READING IN A TIME OF DISTRACTION

The contemporary information environment is characterized by unprecedented access to digital content, yet this abundance has coincided with a noticeable decline in sustained, deep reading practices. In addition to broader shifts in attention patterns influenced by social media, algorithm-driven platforms and multitasking digital habits, long-form reading and extensive reading are more often replaced by quick skimming, scrolling and episodic consumption of information on the screen (Van der Weel & Mangen, 2022). The hyperlink, the notification, the multimedia features and the constant connectivity, all interfere with sustained attention and encourage non-linear movement through texts (Liu, 2005). While digital technologies have expanded the availability of information and multiplied the number of opportunities to read, they have also altered the cognitive processes by which readers process, interpret and retain knowledge.

In the current educational landscape, librarians and educators face a significant challenge in nurturing meaningful and sustained reading habits while keeping pace with rapid technological advancements. The growing presence of digital media has transformed how individuals engage with texts, often competing with sustained attention. Rather than treating print and digital formats as opposing forces, it is essential to explore ways they can complement one another. This discussion highlights how libraries and educational institutions can strategically integrate technology to support deep reading, critical thinking and intellectual engagement despite the distractions of modern life (Kumar N. et al., 2024).

2. TRADITION MEETS TECHNOLOGY: GURUKUL TO GOOGLE

In Indian educational traditions, especially under the Gurukul system (Bhatia 2025), reading and learning were placed in a holistic context that included intellectual, ethical and spiritual development (Channawar 2022). In the past, knowledge was predominantly transmitted through oral methods such as instruction, recitation and memorization along with deep contemplation and texts were not only read but also internalized and discussed in a lived context, demonstrating a deep connection with the material (Wood 2025). At the center of this system was the Guru-Shishya parampara, in which the teacher acted as a guide and moral mentor, developing the character of the learner along with intellectual development. Hence, reading was a holistic and formative exercise rather than one that was driven by examinations or measurable outcomes (Behl and Pattiaratchi 2023).

These digital reading ecosystems are often faster and more abundant, with e-books, audiobooks and online learning platforms, all enhanced with AI tools to provide personalized experiences, immediate access to information and multimodal interactions (Mohammadi et al., 2025). While digital technologies have increased the availability of education and learning materials to learners from different parts of the world and from different economic classes, there are also risks, such as shallow learning, in which the breadth of learning resources can detract from the depth of learning and information is quickly consumed without reflection and deep thought (Kang & Eune, 2013).

A key insight of this shift is that maintaining a reading culture means combining the depth and discipline of traditional practices with the accessibility and inclusion of digital technology, rather than choosing one or the other. Libraries and educational institutions serve a crucial function in bridging traditional heritage and modern innovation, which is essential for promoting impactful reading experiences in today's digital landscape (Rahmanova, 2025).

Table 1: Comparative Table: Gurukul Reading and Digital Reading Ecosystems

Dimension	Gurukul Reading Practices (Traditional)	Digital Reading Ecosystems (Contemporary)
Mode of Knowledge Transmission	Oral transmission, recitation, memorization	E-books, audiobooks, multimedia, AI tools
Nature of Reading	Deep, linear, contemplative	Non-linear, fragmented, hyperlinked
Role of Teacher/Librarian	Guru as mentor and moral guide	Facilitator, curator, digital literacy guide
Learner Engagement	Slow learning, reflection, dialogue	Fast access, multitasking, personalization
Purpose of Reading	Character formation and wisdom	Skill acquisition, information access
Assessment Orientation	Not examination-driven	Often outcome- and performance-driven
Strengths	Depth, focus, internalization	Accessibility, inclusivity, scalability
Risks / Limitations	Limited reach, dependence on teacher	Superficial engagement, attention fragmentation
Ideal Learning Outcome	Wisdom (<i>Jnana</i>) and ethical growth	Knowledge efficiency and adaptability

The significance of Table 1 lies in demonstrating that the two models are not oppositional but complementary. Sustainable reading cultures emerge when the contemplative depth and mentorship central to traditional practices

are integrated with the accessibility and flexibility of digital platforms. This integration positions librarians and educators as critical mediators who can align technological affordances with human-centered learning goals, thereby reconciling wisdom-oriented reading with efficiency-driven information use.

3. READING STYLES: PRINT VS DIGITAL

Reading practices are increasingly shaped by the medium through which texts are consumed. Distinct cognitive and behavioral patterns are supported by print and digital formats, with both formats having advantages and limitations. Libraries and schools should develop balanced reading strategies that incorporate print and digital formats to support their various learning needs. Deep, immersive engagement is often associated with print reading, as the format provides less distraction and encourages sustained attention, reflection and critical comprehension. In fact, several studies have shown that print reading supports better retention, conceptual understanding and narrative coherence for longer, more complex materials (Jian, 2022).

On the other hand, digital reading environments stress rapidity, access and interactivity; hyperlinked structures, search functions and multimedia integration aid in the speed of discovery and expand access to current information (Hare et al., 2024), but they also encourage skim-based reading and multitasking, which tends to cause fragmented attention and shallow engagement. Instead of seeing print and digital reading as antagonistic modes, a more balanced approach recognizes their complementary strengths and can employ strategic use of print for deep reading and reflection and digital platforms for exploration, inclusivity and currency in modern learning environments.

Table 2: Comparative Table: Print vs Digital Reading Styles

Dimension	Print Reading	Digital Reading
Reading Style	Deep, linear, immersive	Skim-based, non-linear, hyperlinked
Attention Level	Sustained focus	Multitasking-prone
Cognitive Engagement	Reflective and analytical	Rapid scanning and information seeking
Retention & Comprehension	Generally higher	Variable; often lower for long texts
Distractions	Minimal external stimuli	Notifications, hyperlinks, media
Strengths	Depth, memory, critical thinking	Speed, access, inclusivity, currency
Limitations	Limited portability and updates	Risk of superficial engagement

Table 2 highlights the cognitive and behavioral differences between print and digital reading styles, underscoring how the reading medium shapes attention, comprehension and engagement. Print reading is closely associated with deep reading theory, which emphasizes sustained focus, linear progression and reflective engagement with texts. The physical stability of print minimizes external distractions, supporting stronger retention and deeper comprehension, particularly for complex and long-form materials.

4. RANGANATHAN'S FIRST LAW IN THE DIGITAL AGE

The First Law of Library Science by S. R. Ranganathan, Books are for use, is just as relevant today in the digital age as it was in the physical world and its definition has evolved to include all recorded knowledge i.e. e-books,

Cultivating a Sustainable Reading Culture in the Digital Age: The Librarian's Role, Technology and Tradition

databases, multimedia and networked digital content, to be available, inclusive and easy to find across formats and platforms (George, 2022). The spirit of the First Law has been amplified through digital technologies by removing time, space and physical access barriers with online catalogues, institutional repositories, open educational resources and assistive technologies, but accessibility in the digital age goes beyond availability to include usability, intuitive discovery systems, multilingual interfaces and support for users with different abilities.

This new interpretation also reflects a change in the identity of the library from a storage room to a learning common, where libraries with blended physical–digital spaces are designed for reading, collaboration, creation and reflection (Sun et al., 2025). The idea of ‘Books are for use’ remains relevant because it is flexible; it serves as a philosophical guide for libraries navigating digital transformation while staying grounded in the human-centered service that is the core of Ranganathan’s philosophy.

5. VOICE, CHOICE AND BOOK CLUBS

Book clubs function as democratic spaces for reading, dialogue and collective meaning-making, where participants interpret texts through their personal, cultural and social perspectives (Grenier et al., 2021). This approach aligns with learner-centered pedagogies and positions reading as a shared intellectual and social practice rather than an assessment-driven task. Providing students with choice in their reading materials fosters a sense of ownership and increases intrinsic motivation. As reading becomes more meaningful and self-directed, students engage more deeply and see themselves as active members of a community of readers.

Peer discussion lies at the heart of the educational value of book clubs (Petrich, 2015). Through structured and informal conversations, participants encounter diverse viewpoints that promote empathy and deeper understanding of others’ experiences. These dialogues also strengthen communication skills as readers articulate ideas, listen actively and respond thoughtfully. Critical thinking is cultivated as participants question, analyze and negotiate meanings within texts collectively. Such outcomes reflect constructivist and socio-cultural theories of learning, which view knowledge as emerging through interaction and collaborative meaning-making.

5.1 Hybrid Models of Book Clubs

The digital turn has expanded the possibilities for book clubs without diminishing their core values. Hybrid models blend physical and virtual spaces to accommodate diverse preferences and contexts:

- **Physical book clubs** encourage face-to-face dialogue, deep listening and communal reading rituals within library spaces.
- **Online reading circles** leverage video platforms and discussion forums to connect geographically dispersed readers.
- **WhatsApp or LMS-based reading challenges** support micro-discussions, reflective prompts and sustained engagement over time, particularly effective in academic and community library settings.

By integrating traditional discussion formats with digital communication tools, hybrid book clubs exemplify how libraries can preserve depth, dialogue and democratic participation while expanding reach and inclusivity.

6. READING AS A LIFE SKILL AND SOCIAL EQUALIZER

According to Mar et al. (2008) regular reading enhances vocabulary and general knowledge, leading to improved cognitive abilities and academic performance. Reading is not just a skill for academic success but a vital life skill that develops emotional intelligence, decision-making and cultural awareness (Stefanova, 2024). Reading also allows us to connect with others through empathy and self-reflection, with diverse perspectives and ethical dilemmas and with histories, values and lived experiences different from our own, thus contributing to our personal growth and social participation and to our sense of community and democratic citizenship (Malina-Urbanz, 2024).

Even though reading can be a transformative experience, the availability of reading materials is not equitable, as it is influenced by socio-economic disparities and power structures, with digital divide perpetuating the lack of equal opportunities for marginalized communities (Inamdar, 2025). On the other hand, digital technologies can be used to democratize knowledge and information when implemented through inclusive policies and public institutions. Open educational resources (OER) eliminate cost barriers and provide free access to high-quality academic content (Dutta, 2016). Institutional repositories conserve and share locally generated knowledge to amplify voices from the Global South and public-funded research ecosystems, while public library digitization projects extend access to information across geographic and economic divides, especially in rural and underserved regions.

In the evolving social landscape, librarians play a vital role as advocates for access rather than as traditional gatekeepers of resources. They facilitate discovery, inclusion and empowerment by curating open resources, promoting digital literacy and creating equitable reading programs. Through these efforts, they transform reading into a means of social mobility and lifelong learning.

7. THE LIBRARIAN'S EXPANDING ROLE

The role of the librarian has undergone a profound transformation, evolving in response to changes in reading practices, educational paradigms and digital technologies (Thirupathi, 2024). No longer confined to the custodial management of collections, librarians today occupy dynamic, multifaceted positions within learning ecosystems.

This evolution marks a shift from preservation-focused roles to active engagement in teaching, facilitation and social change. As reading mentors and curators, librarians guide readers toward meaningful texts, design reading pathways and promote sustained reading across both print and digital formats. Their curatorial role now extends beyond selection to contextualization, helping readers navigate information abundance, evaluate quality and connect texts to personal and academic goals (Habok et al., 2024).

Librarians who train their users in digital literacy give them the skills to find, assess and responsibly use information in networked environments: how to navigate digital platforms, understand algorithms, combat misinformation and engage in reflective digital reading. This makes librarians important educators in an attention-driven information economy (Hayes, 2004). Librarians also serve as cultural bridges between generations, bridging traditional reading practices and digitally native behaviors: respecting print culture while adapting to technological innovation, reconciling intergenerational differences in learning styles, values and expectations, maintaining continuity in the face of change.

Cultivating a Sustainable Reading Culture in the Digital Age: The Librarian's Role, Technology and Tradition

Equally important is the librarian's role as a collaborator with teachers and communities, partnering with faculty, schools, local organizations and civic bodies to co-create curricula, reading initiatives and outreach programs that extend learning beyond institutional boundaries and address local needs. This professional evolution reflects a clear shift toward the librarian as an educator, facilitator and agent of change (Basefsky, 1999). In this role, contemporary librarians actively shape reading cultures, transform educational practices and promote information equity through the democratization of knowledge.

8. PRACTICAL TIPS FOR TEACHERS AND LIBRARIANS

Cultivating meaningful reading habits in contemporary learners requires intentional design, supportive environments and freedom from assessment pressures. The following strategies offer realistic and adaptable interventions that teachers and librarians can implement across school, college and public library contexts.

a) Integrate Print, Digital and Dialogue

Adopt a blended reading model that intentionally combines:

- **One print book** for deep and immersive reading
- **One digital article or resource** for currency and accessibility
- **One guided discussion** to promote interpretation, dialogue and critical thinking

This triadic approach balances depth with breadth and mirrors real-world reading practices.

b) Encourage Reflective Reading Journals

Invite learners to maintain reflective journals—either in print or digital form—where they can record responses, questions, connections and personal insights. Reflection reinforces comprehension and supports metacognitive awareness without imposing formal assessment.

c) Use Storytelling and Participatory Activities

Enhance reading engagement through storytelling sessions, author talks, book trailers and student-written reviews. Structured storytelling activities provide a viable and valuable way to enhance literacy and digital literacy in early childhood education (Maureen et al., 2020). These participative activities give readers a voice and turn reading into a shared cultural experience.

CONCLUSION: TOWARD A SUSTAINABLE READING FUTURE

The way we access information has changed in the twenty-first century with the advent of digital tools, but the deeper purposes of reading—reflection, understanding, empathy and wisdom—have not. Educators and librarians are therefore challenged not to make a choice between print and digital, tradition and innovation, but to find ways to harmonize them.

When libraries and educational institutions re-envision reading as a purposeful, participatory and lifelong activity, blending the contemplative depth of ancient learning traditions with the reach and flexibility of modern technologies, librarians and teachers as mentors, facilitators and change agents, can direct attention, curate content

and create dialogue while ensuring equitable access to reading in ways that will either continue to diminish it or reinvigorate it as a transformative tool of personal and social empowerment.

The future of reading ultimately rests in intentional design, where technology supports human values, learning is motivated by curiosity rather than necessity and reading is reclaimed as a sustaining force for both individuals and societies, rather than just an academic requirement.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Basefsky, S. (1999). The library as an agent of change: Pushing the client institution forward. *Information Outlook*, 3(8), 37–40. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2657155>
- [2]. Behl, M., & Pattiaratchi, C. (2023). Relevance of the guru-shishya parampara to modern-day mentorship. *Oceanography*, 36(1), 74–75. <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2023.111>
- [3]. Bhatia, K. (2025). Gurukul system of learning - past and future of education system. In S. Das, T. Das, P. C. Sahoo, & P. Pandey (Eds.), *Transforming education in India: Bridging tradition and innovation for a new era*. Redshine. <https://doi.org/10.25215/9141001427.04>
- [4]. Channawar, S. (2022). Conceptual framework for holistic development: NEP 2020. *Madhya Bharti*, 82(24), 22–27.
- [5]. Dutta, I. (2016). Open educational resources: Opportunities and challenges for Indian higher education. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 17(2), 110–121. <https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.34669>
- [6]. George, S. (2022). Re-looking at S. R. Ranganathan's five laws of library science. *International Journal of Librarianship*, 7(2), 155–161. <https://doi.org/10.23974/ijol.2022.vol7.2.258>
- [7]. Grenier, R. S., Callahan, J. L., Kaepfel, K., & Elliott, C. (2021). Advancing book clubs as non-formal learning to facilitate critical public pedagogy in organizations. *Management Learning*, 53(3), 483–501. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13505076211029823>
- [8]. Habok, A., Oo, T. Z., & Magyar, A. (2024). The effect of reading strategy use on online reading comprehension. *Heliyon*, 10(2), e24281–e24281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e24281>
- [9]. Hare, C., Johnson, B., Vlahiotis, M., Panda, E. J., Tekok-Kilic, A., & Curtin, S. (2024). Children's reading outcomes in digital and print mediums: A systematic review. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 47(3). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9817.12461>
- [10]. Hayes, H. (2004). The role of libraries in the knowledge economy. *Serials: The Journal for the Serials Community*, 17(3), 231–238. <https://doi.org/10.1629/17231>
- [11]. Inamdar, T. A. (2025). Digital divide: Analysing the impact of unequal access to technology on social mobility. *JANUS NET E-Journal of International Relation*, 16(2). <https://doi.org/10.26619/1647-7251.16.2.3>
- [12]. Jian, Y.-C. (2022). Reading in print versus digital media uses different cognitive strategies: evidence from eye movements during science-text reading. *Reading and Writing*, 35(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-021-10246-2>
- [13]. Kang, M., & Eune, J. (2013). Extracting UX elements based on reading stages: A case study. *Proc. of IASDR (International Association of Societies of Design Research)*, 1–12.
- [14]. Kumar N, Antoniraj, S., Jayanthi, S., Mirdula, S., Selvaraj, S., Rajkumar, N., & Senthilkumar, K. (2024). Educational technology and libraries supporting online learning. In K. Senthilkumar (Ed.), *AI-Assisted Library Reconstruction* (pp. 209-237). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2782-1.ch012>

Cultivating a Sustainable Reading Culture in the Digital Age: The Librarian's Role, Technology and Tradition

- [15]. Liu, Z. (2005). Reading behavior in the digital environment. *Journal of Documentation*, 61(6), 700–712. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00220410510632040>
- [16]. Malina-Urbanz, N. (2024). The right to literacy: Reading for democracy and peace. *Schule Verantworten*, 4(3), 85–89. <https://doi.org/10.53349/schuleverantworten.2024.i3.a476>
- [17]. Mar, R., Djikic, M., & Oatley, K. (2008). Effects of reading on knowledge, social abilities and selfhood: Theory and empirical studies. In M. Bortolussi, A. Chesnokova, & J. Auracher (Eds.), *Directions in Empirical Literary Studies* (pp. 127–137). John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lal.5.12mar>
- [18]. Maureen, I. Y., van der Meij, H., & de Jong, T. (2020). Enhancing storytelling activities to support early (digital) literacy development in early childhood education. *International Journal of Early Childhood*, 52(1), 55–76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13158-020-00263-7>
- [19]. Mohammadi, M., Tajik, E., Martinez-Maldonado, R., Sadiq, S., Tomaszewski, W., & Khosravi, H. (2025). Artificial intelligence in multimodal learning analytics: A systematic literature review. *Computers and Education Artificial Intelligence*, 8(1), 100426–100426. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2025.100426>
- [20]. Noruzi, A. (2019). Application of S R Ranganathans' five laws to the world wide web. *Informatics Studies*, 6(2), 3/46. <http://eprints.rclis.org/43471/1/Ranganathan-laws.pdf>
- [21]. Petrich, N. (2015). Book clubs: Conversations inspiring community. *I.e.: Inquiry in Education*, 7(1).
- [22]. Rahmanova, A. (2025). Evolution of Libraries in the Digital Era: Redefining Access, Education and Cultural Preservation. *Kütüphane Arşiv Ve Müze Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 6(1), 23-38. <https://doi.org/10.59116/lamre.1540033>
- [23]. Rahmanova, A. (2025). Evolution of libraries in the digital era: Redefining access, education and cultural preservation. *Library Archive and Museum Research Journal*, 6(1), 23–38. <https://doi.org/10.59116/lamre.1540033>
- [24]. Safii, M. (2019). Redefining the five laws of library science in the digital age. *Proceedings of the 2nd Internasional Conference on Culture and Language in Southeast Asia (ICCLAS 2018)*, 24–27. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icclas-18.2019.7>
- [25]. Stefanova, S. (2024). Reading literacy as a fundamental part of functional literacy. *XV International Balkan Educational and Scientific Congress*, 11.
- [26]. Sun, X., Orillo, J. C., & Quinto, J. D. (2025). Utilization of learning commons in university libraries: Basis for an innovative library feature enhancement plan. *The International Information & Library Review*, 57(3), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572317.2025.2475409>
- [27]. Thiruppathi, K. (2024). Librarians role in the digital age: Reimagining the profession in the era of information abundance. *International Journal of Library and Information Science*, 13(1), 1–9.
- [28]. Van der Weel, A., & Mangen, A. (2022). Textual reading in digitised classrooms: Reflections on reading beyond the internet. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 115(115), 102036. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2022.102036>
- [29]. Wood, A. (2025). Historical dimensions of knowledge access and dissemination in India. *Informatics Studies*, 12(1), 5–18.